

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,736	04/09/2004	Lothar Schwink	38005-0197	9019
	1104/2004		EXAMINER	
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP 1666 K STREET,NW SUITE 300			HABTE, KAHSAY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	DN, DC 20006	1624		
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/820,736	SCHWINK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIO OCT TO CVDIDE (MONT	U(0) 500.4				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	·					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7 and 10-15</u> is/are pending in the	ne application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7 and 10-15</u> are subject to restr	riction and/or election requireme	ent.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
·) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	` '				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		· ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	anionity under 25 H.C.C. \$ 440	(-) (-l) (f)				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau 		ved in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ved				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	···· • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/820,736

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-3 (in part), drawn to benzothiophenes or benzofurans and E = D
 = G = L = carbon (W or U = S/O, see Examples 118-119), classified in class 549, subclasses 49⁺ and 467.
 - II. Claims 1-3 (in part), drawn to indoles and **E** = **D** = **G** = **L** = carbon (see Example 117), classified in class 548, subclass 469⁺.
 - III. Claims 1-3 (in part), drawn to benzoimidazoles and **E** = **D** = **G** = **L** = carbon (see Example 17), classified in class 548, subclass 304.4⁺.
 - IV. Claims 1-3 (in part), drawn to others (e.g. variables EDGL together with the two carbon atoms forms rings such as pyrazines, pyrimidines, pyridines etc. or alternatively variables UTW with the benzo ring form benzotriazoles, benzodithiazoles, benzothiazoles etc.), classified in classes 540, 544, 546, 548 and 549, subclass various.
 - V. Claims 4, 6-7 and 10-15, drawn to a composition and method of use of compounds of formula I, classified in class 514, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Group I (benzothiophenes/benzofurans), Group II (indoles), Group III (benzoimidazoles)

and Group IV (others) are directed to structurally dissimilar compounds such that the

variable core created by the varying definitions of U, W, T, E, D, G and L in formula I do

not belong to the same recognized class of chemical compounds in the art, and

Application/Control Number: 10/820,736

Art Unit: 1624

references anticipating one invention, would not render obvious the others. Group I is drawn to benzothiophenes/benzofurans (benzene ring fused to a 5-membered ring with one oxygen or with one sulfur) and is different from Groups II-IV, since the core structure contains oxygen or sulfur in the 5-membered ring of the bicyclic ring. Group II is drawn to indoles (benzene fused to a 5-membered ring with one nitrogen) and is different from Groups I and III-IV, since this core structure is not present in other groups. Group III is different from other groups since the core structure contains a benzene ring fused to a 5-membered ring with two nitrogens at 1,3-position that is not present in Groups I-II and IV. Group IV is drawn to others and is different from Groups I-III, since the core structures formed by varying definition of variables U, W, T, E, D, G and L in formula I form different core structures that are different from the core structures of Group I-III (e.g. EDGL forms pyrazines, pyrimidines, pyridines, etc. or alternatively variables **UTW** with the benzo ring form benzotriazoles, benzodithiazoles, benzothiazoles, etc.). Inventions I-IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I (benzothiophenes) has separate utility such as prostate conditions, cardiovascular disorders, impotence etc. (see US Pat. No. 6,780,884). See MPEP § 806.05(d). Thus, separate searches in the literature as well as in the U.S. Patent Classification System would be required. Each group's compounds are made and used independently of each other and could support separate patents. The compounds differ significantly in

Art Unit: 1624

chemical structures. One skilled in the art would not consider such diverse structure equivalents of each other.

If applicants elected Group IV, applicants are required to elect a single disclosed species.

Advisory Rejoinder

2. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

A telephone call was made to Ms. Patricia Grenados on Oct. 20, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,736

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on (571) 272-0674, if there is no reply within 24 hours, James Wilson (Acting SPE) can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/820,736

Art Unit: 1624/

Kahsay Habte, Ph/ D.

Examiner Art Unit 1624 Mark L. Berch Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

KH

November 1, 2004